



This is the famous entrance to the concentration camp Auschwitz-Birkenau, or Auschwitz II (shown from inside the camp), that in the “official Holocaust literature” is known as a death camp where today it is claimed over a million people were gassed. Toward the end of the war trains did enter through this gate. The official story states that at the end of the line there was a ramp where internees went through the “selection process.” For those ordered to go to one side it meant immediate gassing, while those ordered to go to the other side it meant being worked to death. At one time the death figure stood at around 4 million but then was reduced to 1-1.5 million. Even this figure creates problems when considering the logistics of gassing and then burning such a large number of people. Anyone can do a calculation as to how long it would take to cremate that many people. Go to your local crematorium and view a cremation, then note how long it took to cremate one body. —Dr. Fredrick Töben

One Man's Journey To 'Holocaust Denial'

HOW DOES ONE GO FROM BELIEVING the world is flat to accepting that it is really round? How does one cast off decades of what is assumed to be “gospel” and develop an entirely opposite worldview? And how does a layman resist and overcome the calumny associated with the acceptance and espousing of a very different and unpopular viewpoint? After all, many honest academics and others have lost their jobs and had their livelihoods ruined because they dared to openly question the “holocaust of the Jews” story. Unlike all other historical events, this is one that has been deemed “beyond question” and “beyond debate.” Who has deemed it so and why? That is what you need to know, that you might understand just why this subject is so sacred and unapproachable by honest research.

BY PROF. RAY GOODWIN

The following account is an attempt by one who dared to be like Dorothy of Kansas and decided to stymie the fear of a booming voice of “Oz” and to pull back the curtain—and reveal that the tremendous voice and godlike image that had all the people in fear was not a god at all, but a little old white-haired man with a megaphone.

This writer presents this paper, first written in 1991 and just recently updated, in hopes that our laymen will take up the cause for truth and to show that one does not have to be a man of letters or a “scholar” to discover what turns out to be a simple truth. The enemy knows they can pretty much control the scholars and their voices; what they fear is the lay people starting to question their pronouncements, especially in numbers. Hopefully the personal experience cited herein will be a helpful guide and something with which you may “arm yourself” for future questioning of the purveyors of a despicable, costly and cowardly myth.

Borrowing an acronym—FEAR—I urge you to apply it to any account of the supposed extermination campaign waged against the Jews by National Socialist Germany. “False Evidence Appearing Real” (FEAR) is the hallmark of all the tales of “eyewitnesses” and “survivors” in the holocaust industry. For too long, these accusations and libel have gone unchallenged and accepted at face value. Come on, folks. This is not an indi-

vidual, but an entire nation, that has been charged and convicted of mass murder and has never been allowed to defend itself. Put yourself, as an individual, in that position: You know you are innocent. Every piece of forensic, scientific and demographic evidence exonerates you, but you are not allowed to use it. You are already judged as guilty before the trial even starts. That reminds some of us Texans of old Judge Roy Bean, when asked by a captured suspect, “Are you gonna give me a fair trial?” Bean replied, “Yeah, we’ll give you a fair trial, then we’re gonna hang you.”

As you read this article, I hope you will think of and ask the questions of your accuser that would be asked if you were on trial for such a heinous crime. And do not accept anything but a legitimate answer in return—no evasiveness, no emotional outbursts or name-calling. Every one of the people who allege this crime of genocide should be forced to back it up, and I do not mean with mislabeled and phony photographs and statements “from a friend of a friend whose brother-in-law said. . . .” Those who show a tattooed number as proof of extermination have only shown you a tattoo that proves one thing—that they have a tattoo. In other words, folks, hold these plaintiffs to the same judicial standards as you would any other accuser. The burden of proof is on them, and they have not given one shred of legitimate proof, excepting that expressed in the above acronym—FEAR.

They show a picture of a knife and say, “This knife killed 24 people.” Remember—all they have shown anyone is a picture



Auschwitz Plaque Reflects New Information—But Few Take Notice

The plaque shown on the left above is an old plaque from the Auschwitz-Birkenau WWII prison camp. It reads: “Four million people suffered and died at the hands of the Nazi murderers between the years 1940 and 1945.” Realizing that maintaining the 4 million number was becoming untenable, the Auschwitz authorities changed the plaque to the one shown above, on the right. It reads: “Forever let this place be a cry of despair and a warning to humanity where the Nazis murdered about one and a half million men, women and children, mainly Jews,

from various countries of Europe. Auschwitz-Birkenau, 1940-1945.” Although this change in information should have been heralded around the world, becoming the impetus for correcting the historical record in regard to the holocaust in textbooks, museums, college classes etc, no one (but Revisionists) seemed to care. In fact, the holocaust lobby, in an act of unbelievable *chutzpa*, began touting the figure of 9-11 million killed in German-run WWII work camps during their media blitz against those in attendance at the Iran Holocaust Conference, December 2006.

of a knife. Their words must be proved to be true—otherwise, all their huffing and puffing is merely loud, empty talk. (Besides, knives don’t kill people. People kill people.)

Just about any good lawyer worth his salt could tear them apart in any legitimate courtroom, where witnesses are duly sworn in and are forced to answer truthfully. The problem for those of us trying to right this wrong is finding that legitimate courtroom. One does not have much of a chance when the judge is part of the prosecution and knows his career is over if he dares allow an honest pursuit of justice.

Use the information herein to hold their feet to the fire—and that includes the millions of duped “average Joes” who have bought into this exterminationist thesis. This is not merely a debate over history, people—this is a war; and truth has been the big loser so far. The resultant turmoil and suffering do not bode well for the very existence of mankind.

THE SEARCH BEGINS

I began my questioning and search for truth regarding the much-ballyhooed “holocaust” in 1974. Like nearly every American, I accepted at face value the claims of “survivors,”¹ the videos and photos shown on television and the very regular pronouncements from the media, dais and pulpit about the “horrible extermination campaign” conducted against Jews by

Hitler’s Germany. What spurred me to question the details of this unspeakable crime? Answer: reading a paper titled *Did Six Million Really Die?*; my work experience in a chemical plant and the handling of hydrogen cyanide; and reading the accounts of “survivors” from the postwar trials which essentially established the legend of mass extermination that came to be termed the “holocaust.”

The first thing I did as a seeker of truth was to get a definition of “holocaust,” which was and is readily available in countless books on the subject. That definition comprises “the planned and activated extermination of members of the Jewish race, numbering some 6 million, by National Socialist Germany primarily through the use of Zyklon B (a commercial preparation containing hydrogen cyanide) at various camps during World War II.” With this definition forming the guidelines, I began my quest.

Those who have challenged the establishment viewpoint are known as “Revisionists,” and their position on the subject is: there was no attempt by National Socialist Germany to exterminate any ethnic group, such as the Jews in this case, nor did 6 million die, nor were any Jews subjected to homicidal gassing.

Revisionists agree that many deaths occurred in the camps due to disease, starvation and the horrid conditions of war, but

gas chambers (much less “gas ovens,” whatever that means) for homicidal purposes, were non-existent.²

Those who accept the conventional view as true are designated as “exterminationists” by Revisionists.

What jumped out at this researcher immediately upon closer examination of the stories of extermination were the many credible arguments against such a program, as advanced by Revisionists. The technical and physical impossibilities of the claims of alleged gassings and cremations as espoused by “eyewitnesses” at the postwar trials immediately fly in the face of scientific fact. Unfortunately, such claims were not allowed to be questioned by the defense, nor those witnesses allowed to be cross-examined. Before an analysis of such claims, a chronological background on the origins of “denial” is necessary for a proper understanding of Revisionist contentions.

In 1961, Prof. Paul Rassinier of France became the first author to refute the accuracy of claims of genocide in print. (Francis P. Yockey’s *Imperium*, published in 1948, did cast doubt upon the claims, but did not deal directly with the subject.) Rassinier, a socialist and member of the French resistance, had been captured and interned in the prison work camps of Buchenwald and Dora-Mittelbau. Liberated in 1945, he returned to France as an invalid and was shortly very puzzled, then outraged, at the claims of “genocide” and gas chambers, particularly regarding the two camps where he had been a prisoner.

He had seen no evidence of any such activities as an inmate there (and Rassinier certainly had no love for the Germans). His initial work on the extermination of the Jews, *The Lie of Ulysses*, followed in 1964 by his *The Drama of the European Jews*, called into question the “holocaust” legend.

The year 1976 featured the appearance of a thorough and well-documented Revisionist treatise by Dr. Arthur R. Butz of Northwestern University. *The Hoax of the 20th Century* dissected the extermination claims from pre-war and post war population statistics; the crucial role played by the Allied trials and their extreme importance in implanting the legend were also addressed by Butz: “. . . [I]t is a fact that without the evidence generated at these trials, there would be no significant evidence that the program of killing Jews ever existed at all. . . . If the trials had not been held, a person claiming the existence of an extermination program could not, if challenged, produce any evidence for this save a few books . . . whose claims are just as unsupported as his original claim.”

Thus the problem that had been involved in deciding whether or not to try mass murder; unlike the usual murder case, there was legitimate and very solid doubt that the deed

had been committed at all.

“This may surprise the reader who regards the tale of Jewish extermination as a near certainty; such is simply not the case. There are many considerations supporting this view and some are so simple that they may surprise the reader even further. The simplest valid reason for being skeptical about the extermination claim is also the simplest conceivable reason; at the end of the war they were still there.” (Butz, page 10)

Adding credence to the claims made by Butz is a 1983 publication by Walter N. Sanning entitled *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*. This detailed demographic study offers evidence that the vast majority of the “exterminated” Jews did indeed survive, being absorbed primarily into the Soviet Union, Palestine and the United States. Professor Sanning challenges the exterminationist school of thought: “The purpose of this analysis was not to investigate the content of truth in the ‘holocaust’ story, but to outline the extent and the direction of the Jewish population movement before, during and after World War II.

“If the developments as traced here are in conflict with the taboos of contemporary historians, it is *their* (emphasis added) task to reconsider an untenable position.”

In his booklet, *The Holocaust—120 Questions and Answers*, Dr. Charles E. Weber does a masterful job of provoking thought while keeping his approach simple and plainspoken. This 1983 publication addresses a myriad of issues related to the holocaust legend and reminds the reader from the outset that the funding available to those who disseminate material from the exterminationist viewpoint

far outweighs the minute resources available to the Revisionist (page 8). This is, of course, a major factor in the relative obscurity of Revisionist research and scientific conclusions on the issue.

One of the most definitive books on the conduct of “war crime” trials, *The Auschwitz Myth*, by Dr. Wilhelm Staeglich, gives one insight into the show trial and political nature of Allied courtroom procedures. This 1986 publication stresses the absence of proper judicial standards and the appalling way in which the search for truth was impeded rather than furthered by the court. Not many are aware that “eyewitnesses” were not allowed to be cross-examined by the defense, nor were they ever subjected to enforcement of the perjury oath. Additionally, Staeglich says “witnesses” traveled from court to court making the same unchallenged claims, saying they had been at each camp.

By October of 1990, the Revisionist assault on the legend had come full circle with the admission by England’s leading historian and authority on World War II, David Irving, that he

“This detailed demographic study offers evidence that the vast majority of the “exterminated” Jews did indeed survive, being absorbed primarily into the Soviet Union, Palestine and the U.S.”

was now convinced of the fraudulent nature of the entire extermination thesis (*Battleship Auschwitz*, 498-9) and would include a statement to this effect in new editions of his previously published books.

TECHNICAL ASPECTS

According to Revisionist investigation, none of the alleged “gas chambers” had ventilation or exhaust systems capable of handling the gassing of inmates. The square footage in all of the “chambers” would allow for a hypothetical total of 123,976 gassings in all the years of the supposed “genocide” program (*The Leuchter Report*, 14). In September of 1989, the Russians released the Auschwitz death books (the Germans were meticulous record keepers). They showed a death toll of 74,000 at that camp from all causes (Irving, 500). Confessions from Germans, obtained by torture, “eyewitness” testimony and exterminationist historians put the number gassed at Auschwitz alone at 4 million. This massive contradiction deserves further examination in the interest of historical truth. This figure has now been revised downward, to just over a million, thanks to the fear of Revisionist discoveries and publishings. Notably, however, that reduction did nothing regarding the claim of 6 million dead.

On August 19, 1960, the director of the prestigious Institute for Contemporary History in Munich, Dr. Martin Broszat, announced to his amazed countrymen that there had never been a “gas chamber” in the entirety of the German Reich, but only a few in other places, namely in occupied Poland (Robert Faurisson, *The Problem of the Gas Chambers*, 107-108). This announcement flew in the face of those who swore to mass killings in German camps, but that was never brought up, of course.

Broszat has never provided an explanation for this contention. Professor Robert Faurisson of France asks: 1. How does Dr. Broszat know that the “gas chambers” in the Old Reich were frauds? 2. Why did he say that the “gas chambers” in Poland are genuine? 3. Why do the proofs and certainties and eyewitness accounts concerning the concentration camps in the west suddenly have no value, while these same criteria still remain true for the camps in Poland (108)?

Think about those questions.

As the exterminationists themselves have since abandoned the claims of the use of gas chambers in Germany proper, primary attention will now be devoted to the main camps in Poland, which would be Majdanek and Auschwitz-Birkenau. Pertinent to this, however, is the confession regarding the



PROFESSOR RAY GOODWIN

Truth over political correctness.

German camp of Bergen-Belsen.


Two of the pillars upon which rest the claims of the exterminationist historians and the “survivors” and “eyewitnesses” are the confessions of Kurt Gerstein and Rudolf Hoess. Gerstein, one-time commandant of Bergen-Belsen, states in his confession that 700 to 800 people went into each of the four chambers each time the gassings took place. The size of each room varies slightly, but worked out in terms of occupant per square meter (approximately a square 3 feet by 3 feet), and according to those “eyewitnesses,” those rooms would have had a minimum of 30 to a maximum of 40 individuals in each square meter (Felderer, 170). I ask you, dear reader, to draw on the ground a square of 3 feet by 3 feet. Then imagine just how many people you could cram into that square. Thirty? Forty? I don’t think so. Revisionist Ditleb Felderer concludes, “In spite of all

the absurdities, impossibilities, erroneous and contradictory figures, the ‘Gerstein Statement’ continues to maintain its supremacy in exterminationist lore. Perhaps this is just as well, from a cynical Revisionist viewpoint, for few things could better illustrate the mythical nature of the ‘holocaust’ than this very item.” (Felderer, 172)

Rudolf Hoess, one of three successive commanding officers at Auschwitz, was the only one to leave a confession. His description of the actual gassing procedures is remarkably short and vague, just as all other “eyewitness” accounts are vague, brief and full of contradictions on many points. As described by Hoess: one-half hour after having released the gas, the Germans would open the door and turn on the fan and immediately begin to remove the bodies. Hoess added that the crew in charge would remove the 2,000 [!] bodies and begin transporting them to the crematory ovens while eating and smoking. (Quoted in Faurisson, *The Mechanics*, 24)

This confession implies that it is possible to enter an area saturated with hydrogen cyanide (HCN, Zyklon B) while taking no precautions for self-protection and bare-handedly grasp 2,000 cadavers contaminated with a lethal dose of the gas. The air pockets between the bodies heaped on top of one another would have been filled with HCN.

This “confession” lacks plausibility and even common sense (many “survivors” and “eyewitnesses” swore to the same impossibility in court), and is in accord with Revisionist claims that it is a fabrication extracted by torture. Yet, testimony by these claimants at Nuremberg and other postwar trials was readily accepted into the record, not allowed to be challenged and believed as “gospel” by Allied judges anxious to do their



Above, inmates are given the important task of making rifles for the German war effort at the Dachau prison labor camp. One would expect that the prisoners used for this task would have prior job experience with factory work, foundry work or wood-working. As different camps produced different products (Auschwitz producing, for instance, synthetic rubber, medicine and arms), inmates with particular skills would have been put to work where their skills were best suited. Recalcitrant workers, habitual criminals, murderers and other troublemakers would have been dealt with sternly. However, in 1943, Heinrich Himmler himself ordered that no guard could strike a prison labor camp inmate without fear of severe punishment—and this included death.

duty for “humanity.” And countless German military personnel, not guilty of any such crime, were executed on the basis of these claims by “survivors” that were not allowed to be questioned or cross-examined.

It was this particular part of my reading that drew me to examine and challenge those claims. I was an employee of E.I. DuPont at that time and worked in a huge chemical plant, having experience working around HCN. Allow me to cite the DuPont Company Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) on hydrogen cyanide (the primary element of Zyklon B), as of January 14, 1991. “HCN is described as unstable with heat and extremely flammable. It is a fast acting poison and could be fatal if inhaled, swallowed, or absorbed through the skin.” (Remember the “eyewitness” accounts of SS men “smoking and eating,” wearing NO protective equipment, while dragging gassed Jews out by the hair).

The MSDS adds that in most cases cyanide poisoning causes a deceptively healthy pink to red skin color and that skin permeation can occur in amounts capable of producing systemic toxicity. The user is cautioned to use the gas only in closed systems and with ventilation adequate to keep vapor

concentrations below exposure limits. Warnings are given to evacuate the area immediately if HCN fumes are detected and to don protective clothing before re-entry. The minimum personal protective equipment recommended is goggles and rubber gloves, and the user should have at hand rubber suits and boots, a full-body chemical suit and a self-contained breathing air supply. DuPont also warns that with eye or skin contact, one should immediately “flush the eyes with plenty of water, remove contaminated clothing, including shoes, and wash the skin.” Skin absorption can occur from cyanide dust, solutions, or HCN vapor (MSDS, 3-10).

Therefore, the abundance of technical and physical impossibilities inherent in the confessions becomes apparent upon examination of the sites and the dimensions of the so-called gas chambers. The technical problems inherent in any plan to gas millions of people would have necessitated meetings of experts and the issuance of plans, instructions and safety equipment. No evidence that any of this was ever done by the National Socialist government has ever been found (Faurisson, *The Mechanics*, 29). Remember too, that passes would not have been granted to Germans in the camps, nor their

families allowed to visit; prisoners who had served their sentences would not have been released or allowed to return to their respective countries, or the “extermination program” would have been revealed to the whole world. The fact is, there was nothing sinister to reveal at all.

Faurison concludes his article with what he regards as the criteria of false evidence regarding the gas chambers. He avers that all of the statements, as vague and inconsistent as they may be, agree on one thing: the crew responsible for removing the bodies entered the site either “immediately” or “a few moments” after the deaths of the victims. Calling this a physical impossibility, he states: “I contend that this point alone constitutes the cornerstone of the false evidence, because this is a physical impossibility. If you encounter a person who believes in the existence of the ‘gas chambers,’ ask him how, in his opinion, the thousands of cadavers were removed to make room for the next batch (*The Mechanics*, 30).”

Perhaps the most severe blow to the extermination thesis was delivered in 1988 with the publication of *The Leuchter Report: The End of a Myth*. The author’s treatise is a report on the technical aspects of the alleged execution chambers at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek, Poland, using comparisons with American prison gas chamber designs and operations.

In states that use lethal gas for capital punishment, stringent rules and procedures must be met. All lighting and electrical hardware must be explosion-proof (none of the rooms designated as “gas chambers” had this feature). If you come home in the evening and open your home and smell gas, the one thing you know not to do is flip a light switch. The spark can cause an explosion.

The chamber is operated under a vacuum so that any leak would be inward. An eminent authority on capital executions, Leuchter states that many complicated problems must be considered in the design of an execution gas chamber. A mistake may, and probably will, cause death or injury to anyone outside the chamber (Leuchter, 6). This expert says that an area of nine square feet is the minimum required for gas circulation around the occupant of any gas chamber. As the floor area for the Auschwitz Krema 1 “gas chamber” is 844 square feet, allowance for gas circulation means that a maximum of 94 people could fit into this room at one time for execution. “Eyewitness testimony” places 600 people at a time in this room (Leuchter, 11). Remember—no questioning or cross-examination was allowed in the courts. How would you, as the accused, feel about your defense attorneys being so handicapped with YOUR life on the line?

The tourist attractions shown to the public as “gas cham-

bers” in all the camps examined by Leuchter have no gasketed doors or windows, and very few have vents; the inside walls of the structures are not sealed to prevent leakage or absorption of the gas, so that the exposed, porous brick and mortar would accumulate HCN and be dangerous to humans for several years. The fact that these rooms identified as “gas chambers” are located right next to the crematories also would make them a prime source for explosion and fire. Very poor planning by those super-efficient German killers, indeed.

Leuchter found that Krema 1 has floor drains connected to the main sewer of the camp. This would allow the heavier-than-air deadly HCN to get into every building at the facility, resulting in the deaths of the guards and commandant. The “gas chambers” here, as in all the camps, are too small to contain the numbers claimed. The doors to these rooms all open inward (another poor design consideration by those supposedly so efficient in mass slaughter; it would be quite difficult to

push such a door open against the piles of bodies after a gassing). Also, enough leakage of the deadly gas would have occurred in all of the gas chambers to have killed the administering technicians (Leuchter, 9).

Leuchter’s strongest forensic evidence is the samples of brick, mortar, concrete and sediment taken by his team from the three Polish camps. HCN and its compounds are very residual—they hang around for decades. Leuchter took 31 samples at the alleged gas cham-

bers, and a control sample was taken from delousing facility No. 1 at Birkenau. The control sample, from the delousing chamber where clothing was treated to rid it of disease-causing lice, showed a very heavy cyanide content of 1,050 milligrams per kilogram of brick. This is consistent with the use of Zyklon B as a delousing agent in that chamber.

However, of the other 31 samples, from the supposed gas chambers, 17 had no measurable trace of HCN, and the other 14 were all under 8 milligrams—consistent with those locations having been deloused at some time. This scientific analysis supports the evidence that these facilities could not have been execution gas chambers. Of course, “eyewitness testimony” by those deemed incapable of lying was responsible for the death sentences given German camp personnel there as well as the camps in Germany proper, where the non-existence of homicidal gas chambers has been admitted even by the exterminationists. No charges of perjury, or suggestions of redress to the survivors of the wrongly and vindictively executed Germans, have ever been proffered by the moralistic proponents of the extermination thesis.

Investigation of the cremations of the alleged gassing victims evinces the same degree of impossibility and contradic-

“Leuchter states that many complicated problems must be considered in the design of an execution gas chamber. A mistake may, and probably will, cause death or injury to anyone outside the chamber.”

tion. I took a tour of a modern crematory near my home city, interviewed the experienced technician and learned what it takes to dispose of one body. I asked not only how much time, how much fuel, what temperature and how much residue is left, but the possibility of multiple cremations in one oven. Rarely considered is the fact that the oven must undergo a cooling down period before the ashes and bits of bone remaining may be removed. Those remnants fill a normal size shoebox. What I learned from this personal research was that none of the descriptions in the accusations made by “survivors” of the holocaust was even remotely possible—except, perhaps, in their own minds.

Four million shoeboxes of ashes would have made quite a mound at Auschwitz. Where is it? The 60 pounds of coal/coke used by the Germans to cremate one body also leaves residue—and if one multiplies that 60 pounds by the supposed 4 million bodies—where did Germany get the 240 million pounds of coal, and how did they get it to Auschwitz?

As to the time required to cremate all those bodies (four hours for each)—Leuchter says (p. 10) that the capability of all the ovens at the entirety of Auschwitz could realistically cremate 207 bodies in 24 hours. If there were 4 million, and the 69 crematoria there worked around the clock with no shutdown time for cleaning (an impossibility), the Germans would have still been cremating bodies until something like June of 1972.

Please, Mr. “Survivor,” Mr. “Eyewitness,” explain this. Oh—you say that the bodies were mass-cremated, in that well-cited 50-foot long, 10-foot deep pit the awful Germans dug. Facts: Auschwitz was built on swamp-like land; the water table there is four feet deep. That’s right—once you reach that depth, water begins to seep into the hole you are digging. Ten-foot deep trench? Sure.

Additionally—what fuel did they use in that pit? It doesn’t matter—a high-enough temperature to cremate a human body cannot be reached in an open pit. Once again—you accusers—explain this. Where are the tons of incompletely burnt “cremains”?

I distinctly remember watching another of the myriad of “specials” on the “holocaust” made in 1985, titled *A Painful Reminder*. This was aired on the Discovery Channel out of Houston, and included the standard claims of “gassings” made by the interviewed “survivors.” It was clearly stated on the program that 279,000 bodies per month (over 9,000 per day) were being cremated [at Auschwitz] in a continuous, round-the-clock operation. These phony witnesses were trying to make the numbers fit the story.

Remember—German military and concentration camp personnel were executed on the basis of such unquestioned and unchallenged testimony. Leuchter’s own conclusion about his forensic examination there: “After reviewing all of the material and inspecting all the sites at Auschwitz, Birkenau

The Infamous ‘Ovens’



Above are pictured crematory ovens from three of the most well-known German-run WWII prison labor camps. The top oven is from Dachau, the middle from Buchenwald and the bottom from Majdanek. They appear to be standard-sized one-cadaver-at-a-time funeral home cremation ovens. How in the world the Nazis could have incinerated so many millions of corpses in these small ovens is still unknown. Auschwitz had the most ovens, a large bank of them completed in 1943 (see below). Still they appear incapable of holding more than one or two cadavers at a time. Again, the unattainable logistics of the “holocaust” myth stand as proof that it is a fraud.



and Majdanek, your author finds the evidence overwhelming: There were no execution gas chambers at any of these locations. It is the best engineering opinion of this author that the alleged gas chambers at the inspected sites could not have been, or now be, utilized or seriously considered to function as execution gas chambers.”

Imagine what such testimony, had it been allowed at the show trials after the war, would have done to these witnesses for hire who traveled from court to court to spout the same lies. They knew, of course, that challenging their lies would not be allowed, nor would any cross-examination by the defense. They know this today, as well, and still make those claims before Americans in schoolrooms and elsewhere.

Consideration must be given to the numbers game played by the exterminationists and Jews as well. In brief—according to the study by Prof. Sanning as well as information from the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, the total number of Jews that were ever under the control of the German military was 4 million. Today, you have at least 3.7 million drawing reparations as “survivors” and “victims.” Please, I may not be a math major, but somehow I just cannot get 6 million dead Jews out of that, nor even a half a million.

THE HOLOCAUST INDUSTRY

Why the paradoxical reaction of Jews to Revisionist contentions that Jews were not the victims of extermination, but survived the war? One would think that debunking of the myths would elicit a joyful response, especially from those who have believed for decades that their people were the victims of genocide. Consider these three probable reasons: (1) the holocaust is a huge financial business with obscene profits and enormous amounts of gullible sympathy; (2) the Palestinian issue; and (3) the immense power and influence exercised by Zionists and other Jews upon the governments and media of the world, especially upon American foreign and domestic policy.

Most Americans are unaware of the billions paid to individual Jews as well as to Israel, a nation that did not even exist at the time of the alleged genocide. The amount paid yearly to all these “victims” is based upon the now legendary “6 million” figure and, as these reparations are still ongoing, any reduction in that number would be intolerable to the recipients of such largess.

In essence, should Revisionist findings be accurate and accepted as such, the goose that lays these golden eggs for Jewish coffers would disappear. And those folks who have been forced to bear the guilt and pay this extortion for all these decades just might be a little upset over what has been done to them.

Israel was established in 1948 due in large part to the overwhelming sympathy generated by these ridiculous accusations of genocide. The Zionists were given the land of the Palestinians by the British as a homeland for the Jewish state. The Palestinians thus became as victimized as the Germans by this massive lie, as they have been a homeless, tortured people since then. They are indeed the real victims of not just the repressive Zionists, but an uninformed and misinformed world that does not want to hear of their grief and suffering—a world with little sympathy toward righting a decades-old injustice.

As the U.S. government and all facets of the media played the key role in establishing the legend of genocide, this is why both institutions turn their backs upon Revisionist findings. And it is also why they ignore attacks upon Revisionists and Palestinians alike. Both also continue an ever-increasing effort to propagate the extermination thesis. Several states have made “holocaust education” mandatory in their schools; U.S.


taxpayer funds are used to fund “holocaust” museums and other tributes. No political lobby in the United States plays a more influential role in electing or defeating political candidates as do Jewish political action committees.

These are all factors in maintaining the “holocaust” legend and explaining the silence of politicians on the subject. Jewish organizations are constantly pressuring all levels of government to adopt legislation that makes questioning or doubting the holocaust a crime punishable

by heavy fine and imprisonment. Their efforts have been successful in many foreign countries and are making headway here in the United States, despite our sacred Constitution and the absolute protection of free speech and free thought it should provide us.

Why would key members of the U.S. government have participated for so many years in perpetuating and supporting the legend? Besides self-aggrandizement, of course, obliteration by contrast is the likely reason. Without the genocide claim to use against Germany, several aspects of the initiation and conduct of the war by the Roosevelt administration would have come under scrutiny and could have caused widespread popular unrest in this country. The dubious story of the “surprise” attack on Pearl Harbor, the cover up of the Soviet massacre of the Polish officer corps at Katyn (and the blaming of it on the Germans), Operation Keelhaul,³ the barbaric saturation bombings of non-military targets, and the orgy of rape and pillage engaged in by the Soviets, the Allies and resistance forces, could most justifiably be labeled “war crimes.”

But with the genocide claim against the Germans, all of these actions, as well as the decision by FDR, Churchill and



*“If Revisionists are indeed
“flat-Earth” fools, and
exterminationists and the
Jews are holding all the
aces—of what then
are they afraid?”*

A Financial Holocaust Burns U.S. Taxpayers

Is “holocaust guilt” the reason American taxpayers get burned for \$5 billion every year in aid to Israel without complaining?

According to the American-Israeli Cooperative Enterprise, from 1949 to 2001 the generous American taxpayer gave Israel a total of \$94,966,300,000. That would be over \$100 billion during 1949-2002, or about \$2 billion per year; but by now it is up to about \$5 billion a year, for a total of at least \$130 billion so far. Not widely known is that most of this aid violates the law. For example, the Arms Export Control Act stipulates that U.S.-supplied weapons be used only for “legitimate self-defense,” not for bombing helpless Palestinian or Lebanese civilians and not to destabilize the entire region and the world. Also, taxpayer aid to Israel is different from that to any other country in three ways.

First, since 1982, U.S. aid to Israel has been transferred in one huge lump sum at the beginning of each fiscal year, which immediately begins to collect interest

in U.S. banks. Aid to other lands is disbursed in quarterly installments.

Second, Israel is not required to account for the money. Most countries receive aid for very specific purposes and must account for how it is spent. Israel is allowed to place U.S. aid into its general fund, effectively eliminating any distinctions between types of aid. Therefore, U.S. taxpayers are helping to fund an illegal occupation, the expansion of colonial-settlement projects, and gross human rights violations against the Palestinian civilian population.

A third difference is the sheer amount of aid the U.S. gives away to Israel, unparalleled in the history of U.S. foreign policy. Israel usually rakes in roughly one-third of the entire foreign aid budget, despite the fact that Israel comprises less than 0.1% of the world’s population and already has one of the world’s higher per capita incomes. If U.S. taxpayers knew this and became aware that the holocaust was the most lucrative lie in history, would they so willingly hand over their hard-earned cash to Israel? ❖

Stalin before the end of the war to carve Germany and Europe up for the Soviets, are “justified.” After all, any nation that would conduct genocide, especially against Jews, deserves every form of destruction and punishment it got.

The veracity of Revisionist claims may only be properly evaluated if they receive a hearing. But such a hearing is vociferously opposed by Jews and their lackeys in government and media. Their only answer to our research is personal attacks, including brutal beatings (Faurrison), destroying livelihoods (Leuchter and others) and imprisonment (Rudolf, Zuendel and others). One has to wonder—if Revisionists are indeed “flat-Earth” fools, and the Jews and exterminationists are holding all the aces—of what then are they afraid?

PERSONAL CONCLUSIONS

If this author comes across as angry about this issue, that is because I am certainly angry. After examining the issue from more than one side, seeing the forensic and scientific evidence offered by the Revisionists, and in essence giving them a hearing that was not allowed at the shameful postwar courts, I easily came to the conclusion that this whole “holocaust” is nothing more than a “holo-hoax,” and deserves to be exposed for what it has been all along—an extortion crime of the worst degree. I even learned in my search that this same claim of

genocide and of millions dead was floated by the Zionists after World War I, but it was immediately recognized for the lie that it was, and given short shrift.

I am also angry about the personal attacks upon legitimate scholars who question this historical non-event, the beatings, destruction of property, and the impunity with which such thugs operate in my country. The kowtowing by politicians, media people, clergy and academia to these arrogant frauds is a disgrace. I, for one, have put my foot down. No more unchallenged fairy tales by “survivors,” as long as I am able to speak out.

If your community is like mine, it is visited on occasion by one or another of the millions of “holocaust survivors,” who speak in our churches and our schools about the suffering they endured at the hands of those evil Germans, while the world stood by and did nothing. I do not know about you, but I am way past being tired of their totally baseless whining, lies and extortion.

Certainly, a number of Jews did suffer the depredations of war—but no more so than various other peoples. Those responsible for the executions and extortion payments in the form of reparations should be made to face the music of perjury trials, at the very minimum. When they cry that they lost their entire family—well, many people lost their entire fami-

lies in that war, and Jews deserve no more (and no less) sympathy than anyone else, if they are telling the truth.

I will admit, however, that it is quite difficult to feel sympathy for the legions of lying vampires who rake in tons of extortion money off the labor of falsely accused people every year. The German nation should be exonerated of this despicably assigned “guilt,” and the historical record must be set straight. It is past time to get in the faces of these liars, and put them to the test with honest questioning. They will not engage in debate, unless they can turn the debate away from the intellectual and logical to the emotional—which they will do every time.

They know that in any debate between logic and emotion, logic loses every time. Thus their personal attacks upon those who question them, and the inevitable name-calling—“Nazi,” “anti-Semite” and worse. ❖

ENDNOTES:

¹When heard by the average American, the words “survivor” and “holocaust” are almost completely associated with the German extermination camp myth.

²Were “gas ovens” supposed to both gas the Jews to death and then cremate their bodies? That would be an interesting example of German efficiency, but probably would be impossible.

³Operation Keelhaul was the plan to ship a million nationalist Russians back to the Soviet Union after they had been given sanctuary in the West. (Many helped in the war effort.) Stalin executed those he deemed enemies and shipped hundreds of thousands off to the gulags, never to return. *The East Came West* by Peter Huxley-Blythe is the best book on the story. Softcover, 225 pages, \$20 minus 10% for TBR subscribers. Add \$3 S&H inside the U.S. Available from TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Call toll free 1-877-773-9077 to charge.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

Butz, Arthur R., *The Hoax of the 20th Century*, Richmond, Surrey, England, Historical Review Press, 1976.

DuPont Material Safety Data Sheet (Hydrogen Cyanide): Hazardous Materials Manual, 1991 Ed.

Faurisson, Robert, “The Mechanics of Gassing,” *Journal of Historical Review*, 1.1 (1980), 23-30.

Faurisson, Robert, “The Problem of the ‘Gas Chambers’.” *Journal of Historical Review*, 1.2 (1980), p. 1.

Felderer, Ditlieb, “Auschwitz Notebook.” *Journal of Historical Review*, 1.2 (1980), 169-172.

Irving, David, “Battleship Auschwitz.” *Journal of Historical Review*, 10.4 (1990), pp. 491-508.

Leuchter, Fred A., *The Leuchter Report: The End of a Myth*, Toronto, Samisdat Publishers, Ltd., 1988.

Sanning, Walter N., *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*, Torrance, Ca., Institute for Historical Review, 1983.

Staeglich, Dr. Wilhelm, *The Auschwitz Myth*, English translation from the German original, *Der Auschwitz Mythos*. Torrance, Ca., Institute for Historical Review, 1986.

Weber, Dr. Charles E., *The Holocaust—120 Questions and Answers*, Torrance, Ca., Institute for Historical Review, 1983.

A native-born Texan, Revisionist **RAY GOODWIN** is a retired instructor of American history on the college level in Victoria, Texas. He has given multiple addresses to the Sons of Confederate Veterans organizations in San Antonio, Austin, Corpus Christi and Victoria. He has done research on various historical subjects, and has had book reviews and articles published on them. Prof. Goodwin crafted the lead article for the July/August 2007 issue of TBR, focusing on bias in academia and the case of Nat Turner.

Recommended Books on the Holocaust

Auschwitz: The Final Count. Edited by Vivian Bird. The diminished numbers of inmates who died at Auschwitz from all causes can no longer be disputed. Monographs on Zyklon B, Auschwitz from a man who was stationed there and the killing ability of the Auschwitz gas chambers. Plus more experts. #67, softcover, 120 pages, \$13.

The Myth of the Six Million. Edited by Willis A. Carto. By Dr. David L. Hoggan. The most concise book on the holocaust and one that almost never came to be printed. All you need to know about the holocaust to realize it's a hoax. #446, softcover, 160 pages, \$13.

The Holocaust Industry. By Norman Finkelstein—The author, who is Jewish, is one of the Anti-Defamation League's “Top 10 Most Dangerous Men.” A professor, this brave Jew was denied tenure for speaking up about the use of the holocaust as a guilt-driven money-making machine. #220S, softcover, 150 pages, \$13.

The Giant With Feet of Clay. Juergen Graf, an intrepid Swiss scholar, makes a devastating case against Raul Hilberg's standard work on the holocaust, *The Destruction of the European Jews*. Scholarly, dispassionately written, it is a devastating account of purposeful historical misinformation and outright falsehoods in regard to the internment of Jews and others by the Nazis. #252, softcover, 128 pages, \$11.

The Hoax of the 20th Century. Arthur Butz's seminal Revisionist work is the epitomé of holocaust study and the most widely read book on the subject. In 502 pages of penetrating study and lucid commentary, Butz gives the reader a graduate course on the subject. This is a book you must have if you want a clear picture of the biggest cover-up of our age. #385, softcover, 502 pages, \$25.

The Rudolf Report by Germar Rudolf. A follow-up to the *Leuchter Report* about alleged gas chambers at Auschwitz plus additional updates and clarifications. The author, a scientist and publisher is now rotting in a German prison for his “politically incorrect” conclusions about the holocaust. #378, 455 pages, softcover, \$30.

Concentration Camp Stutthof by Juergen Graf and Carlo Mattogno. New, important discoveries. The authors, Revisionist historians, lay to rest the allegations concerning this camp. Meticulously researched. #379, 122 pages, softcover, \$15.

Concentration Camp Majdanek by Juergen Graf and Carlo Mattogno. Blockbuster. Historical-technical study with on-site physical research in addition to primary sources disprove allegations that the camp was used as an extermination center. #380, 326 pages, softcover, \$25.

The First Holocaust: Jewish Fundraising Campaigns With Holocaust Claims During and After WWI. By Don Heddesheimer. The author shows that the 6 million figure dates back to Jewish fundraising campaigns that started during the FIRST World War and reached their peak in the mid-1920s. Although this exaggerated Zionist propaganda of “mass extermination” began to slow down in the 1930s, it never completely ceased and received powerful new momentum in the 1940s, resulting in the entry of the 6 million figure into our history books. Softcover, 141 pages, #386, \$10.

Order from TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. TBR subscribers may take 10% off the prices listed above. Add S&H: \$3 on orders up to \$50. \$10 on orders from \$50.01 to \$100. \$15 on orders over \$100. For S&H outside the U.S., email tbrc@aol.com or call 951-587-9636. You may also order books at barnesreview.org.